

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,580	08/28/2003	Sc-Youn Lim	5000-1-448	8236
33942 CHA & REITE	7590 12/18/200 ER. LLC	EXAMINER		
210 ROUTE 4	EAST STE 103		FOUD, HICHAM B	
PARAMUS, NJ 07652			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/650,580	LIM ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hicham B. Foud	2619	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N.  Nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 July</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) ⊠ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 2,4 and 5 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2007 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/29/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

10/650,580 Art Unit: 2619

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment filed on 06-29-2007 has been entered and considered.
  - Claims 1-5 are pending in this application.
  - Claims 1 and 3 remain rejected as discussed below.
  - Claims 2, 4 and 5 are objected.

#### Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it reads like a claim. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For claim 1, the term "the predetermined fields" in line 12 has no antecedent basis.

Claims 2-5 are rejected because of their dependency on the rejected claim.

## Claim Objections

4. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2 line 10, the term "a message" is repeated twice.

10/650,580 Art Unit: 2619

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over IEEE 802.3ah Ethernet in the First Mile Task Force), hereinafter referred to as APA in view of Han (US 6,873,599).

#### As best understood;

For claim 1, APA discloses a method of controlling a loop-back process between a local device and a remote device in an Ethernet passive optical network (see page 5 under TEST, wherein the test between the local and remote devices), the method comprising the steps of: (a) providing a predetermined field in a loop-back control OAM PDU, the predetermined field having distinguishing messages for an initiation of the loop-back process (see page 4 under OAM Frame, wherein byte 01 is a request to initiate the loop-back) and a termination of the loop-back process (see page 4 under OAM Frame, wherein byte 02 is a response to terminate the loop-back); and (b) the local device and the remote device performing the loop-back process including an initiation using the loop-

Application/Control Number:

10/650,580 Art Unit: 2619

back control OAM PDU (see page 5 under TEST, wherein the request and the response are the loop-back process between the local and remote devices).

APA discloses all the subject matter with the exception of wherein the loopback process initiation step includes the step of: transmitting, by the local device, a loop-back control OAM PDU requesting an initiation of the loop-back process to the remote device and the loop-back process termination step includes the step of transmitting by the local device, a loop-back control OAM PDU requesting a termination of the loop-back process to the remote device. However, Han discloses a method wherein the loop-back process initiation step includes the step of: transmitting by the local device, a loop-back control OAM PDU requesting an initiation of the loop-back process to the remote device (see Figure 3, wherein element 102 is the local device, element 118 is the remote device, steps (300 and 302) is the initiation step) and the loop-back process termination step includes the step of transmitting by the local device, a loop-back control OAM PDU requesting a termination of the loop-back process to the remote device (see Figure 3, wherein element 102 is the local device, element 118 is the remote device, steps (312 and 314) is the termination step). Thus, it would have been obvious to the one skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method of Han into the system of APA for the purpose for setting up a connection before the start of loopback operation and tearing down the connection at the end of the loopback operation.

Art Unit: 2619

For claim 3, Han further discloses a loop-back method, wherein the loop-back process initiation step further includes: transmitting, by the remote device, a loop-back control OAM PDU acknowledging the initiation of the loop-back process to the local device (see Figure 3, wherein element 102 is the local device, element 118 is the remote device, steps (306 and 308) is the acknowledgement to the initiation step (steps 300 and 302)).

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2, 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## **Response to Argument**

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

8. Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. In the case

Application/Control Number:

10/650,580

Art Unit: 2619

of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

When responding to this office action, applicants are advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which they think the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made.

Applicants must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37C.F.R 1.111(c). In addition, applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and pages numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner in locating the appropriate paragraphs.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Application/Control Number:

10/650,580

Art Unit: 2619

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hicham B. Foud whose telephone number is 571-270-1463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10-3 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hicham Foud 12/13/2007 CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600